

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,265	01/27/2000	Yi-Hsien Hao	058268.00136	9668	
32294 7590 11/20/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			EXAMINER		
			NG, CHRISTINE Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1130113 001	GVLK, VN 22102		2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/492,265	HAO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christine Ng	2616

	Christine Ng	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	ub.u7(t). .on which the netition under 37 CFR 1.1	(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because: (
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		111	
		my=	
	HUY B.		
	CHDERVICORY PATI	FNI FIAMINFK	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Referring to the argument that the Office Action admits that Muller et al disclose that the Address Resolution Table (address table stored in forwarding memory 113) and the Packet Storage Table (shared memory manager 220) do not share a preselected portion of memory (page 6, lines 5-14): The Office Action states that Muller et al disclose in Figures 1 and 2 that the Address Resolution Table (address table stored in forwarding memory 113) and the Packet Storage Table (shared memory manager 220) do share a preselected portion of memory. The shared memory manager 220 is a part of switching element 100, which is connected to the fowarding memory 113. Furthermore, shared memory manager 220 and forwarding memory 113 are both part of subsystem 110, thereby sharing the same memory. Steiner et al and Ryals et al are not used to cure this deficiency, as claimed in the arguments.

Referring to the argument that it is not obvious to combine Ryals et al with Muller et al and Steiner et al to include that the key is a predefined portion of a packet destination address (page 7, lines 7-16): Ryals et al disclose in Figure 4 a switching device with a local table 410 (address resolution table) that contains entries to different destination addresses. Upon receiving a packet, the control unit 406 inspects the local table 410 to determine whether an entry associated with the destination address resides in table 410. If the local table 140 contains an entry associated with the destination address, appropriate routing is determined based on the information in the entry. The entry that corresponds to a destination address is stored in the local table at a location based on an index (key), wherein a portion of the destination address is used as the index to the entry. Refer to Column 9, line 15 to Column 10, line 17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a key to index a location within the Address Resolution Table, wherein the key is a predefined portion of a packet destination address. One would be motivated to do so so that all packets with the same destination can be routed to the same output port according to a table; a portion of the destination address is used as an index into the table in order to conserve memory.